

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has rejected Claims 2-4 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,565,624 in view of Kotliar '652. The Examiner further rejects Claims 1-13 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,827,760. Without conceding to the appropriateness of the Examiner's particular double patenting rejections, Applicants respectfully submit a Terminal Disclaimer that is believed to overcome such rejections. As such, Applicants submit that the present case is in a condition for allowance and requests the favorable consideration thereof by the Examiner. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By: 

Joseph E. Kovarik
Registration No. 33,005
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, Colorado 80202-5141
(303) 863-9700

Date: 9/7/05

J:\4239\3\1\1\PTO\amendment and response.wpd